



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Shaw	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>	n/a	
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	n/a	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Leeds	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	LS12 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number		
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 16.3.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	----------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="no"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="no"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="no"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I am detailing my objection to the green belt protection being removed, the loss of countryside and the enormous risk to Tong valley as a whole through the proposal of a major highway, housing and associated development. I am not presenting any objection to the proposal for 900 homes to be built within or adjacent to Holme Wood as this is within the natural boundary and is an acceptable proportion for Tong ward to provide towards Bradford housing strategy.

I am questioning the legal compliance as local plans need to be driven by the core strategy and this process was incorrectly followed; Tong and Holme Wood NDP fails to meet the requirements of the Localism Bill.

Duty to Co-operate: there is no evidence that Bradford Council has met the required duty to co-operate and this appears to have been neglected throughout this process.

There is no evidence that Bradford has adequately cooperated with adjacent authorities; this has not been consistent or sustained. The geographic nature of this plan means that Leeds land surrounds a significant proportion of this development. The objections for Leeds City Council to Tong and Holme Wood NDP and the Core Strategy LDF FED have not been taken into account.

Bradford CC has not met the required duty to co-operate regarding green belt designation and how this separates the urban conurbations of Leeds and Bradford.

Consultation should extend to include residents in areas adjacent to this development. A number of people outside Bradford authority will be directly affected, such as the potential increased risk of flooding to Pudsey beck ad Troydale area, and the traffic and infrastructure requirements which will impact significantly on Leeds and Kirklees.

There is no evidence that this plan accounts for the required co-operation with health authorities and other relevant bodies

If this proposal is accepted, developers will seek to extend to adjacent countryside sites, and I strongly object to this plan which seeks to reduce the local countryside. There is a need to maintain the Green Belt designation and protection this affords the communities of Leeds and Bradford.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To remove the application for housing beyond the 900 proposed adjacent and within Holme Wood.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

no

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

16.3.14

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

